TRAINING & DEVELOPMENT

ASTD OFFICERS

Chair, Michael G. Hansen; Secretary, Mary L. Broad; Treasurer, Patrick Cataldo: Assistant Treasurer, Larry Randolph; President & Assistant Secretary, Curtis E. Plott.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Mary L. Broad, Performance Excellence; Patrick A. Cataldo, Jr., Bellcore; Jan Danford, General Motors; Hans W. Decker, Columbia University; James DeVito, Jobnson & Jobnson; Paul H. Elliott, RWD Technologies; Michael G. Hansen, MITRE; V. Robert Hayles, Grand Metropolitan/ Pillsbury; Ursula Lohman, Army Management Staff College; Ronnie Norvell, Management Paradigms; Curtis E. Plott, ASTD; Kathryn Porter, Aetna Life & Casually; Geary Rummler, Rummler Brache; William D. Shea, Harbridge House; Kevin Wheeler, National Semiconductor University; Randall White, Executive Development Consulting.

COUNCIL OF GOVERNORS

CHAIR: W. Mathew Juechter, ARC International. MEMBERS: W. Warner Burke, W. Warner Burke Associates, Paul Chaddock, retired; Stanley M. Davis, author; Gloria Gery, consultant; Michael G. Hansen, MITRE; V. Robert Hayles, Grand Metropolitant/ Pillsbury; John Humphrey, Forum Corp.; Patricia McLagan, McLagan International; Donna B. McNamara, Colgate Palmolive; Shozo Mori, Nippon Wilson Learning; J. Edwin O'Brien, Jr., Corning; Curtis Plott, ASTD; Stephen Rhinesmith, Rhinesmith and Associates, Peter Senge, MIT; Charline A. Seyfer, Sandia National Laboratories.

T&D EDITORIAL BOARD

CHAIR: Lynn Summers, consultant. CORE GROUP: Barbara Kres Beach, Holbrook & Kellogg; Dede Bonner, New Century Management, Bart Dahmer, Federal Express; Robert J. Dust, Gyrus Systems, Lydia Menzel, Hardees Food Systems, Linda Morris, American Management Systems; Lorraine Parker, AT&T; George Piskurich, Northern Telecom. REVIEW GROUP: Arash Afshar, International Consultants for Managing and Training; Roberta Amstadt, consultant; Chip R. Bell, Performance Research Associates; Geoffrey M. Bellman, GMB Associates; Ted Cocheu, HRD Consulting: Stephen L. Cohen, The Learning Design Group: Dana Curley, Bell-Northern Research, Chester Delaney, Delaney Learning Services; Mary Dickson, consultant, Judy Estrin, Drake Beam Morin; Cheryl Getty, Martin Marietta; Steve Gladis, FBI Academy; V. Robert Hayles, Grand Metropolitan; Ken Jochum, Continental Grain Corp., Joan Jurkovic, The Learning Design Group; George Kimmerling, consultant; James Kirkpatrick, consultant; John Lawrie, Applied Psychology; Jerrold Markowitz, U.S. Coast Guard; John Mulholland, Zenger-Miller, David Muxworthy, Xerox, Stacy Myers, Alsup-Myers Management Services; James Patterson, Training Services; Alice Pscuric, Development Dimensions International, Jackson Rains, BNA Communications; R. Glenn Ray, Marietta College; Carlene Reinhart, CLR Associates; Angus Reynolds, consultant; Marybeth Saunders, Training and Development Concepts; Bonnie Schoenfelder, General Mills, Dilnawaz Siddiqui, Clarion University of Pennsylvania; Frank Sonnenberg, RMI Marketing & Advertising: Robert Stump, CORE-ROI; John Sullivan, Wilson Learning: John Van Zwieten, Media Metrix: Richard Wellins, Development Dimensions International.

ASTD is committed to the maximum development and utilization of human potential, in conformity with applicable law, the society offers equal opportunity to all, regardless of race, color, creed, religion, national origin, gender, marital status, sexual orientation, physical or mental disability, political affiliation, age, veteran status, and other characteristics protected by law.



Voice Mail

Doer's Profile



Nancy Bleich his month's profile features Nancy Bleich, manager of training and development for Werner Enterprises, a trucking company in Omaha, Nebraska. In June, Bleich chose an unusual mode of transportation to the American Society for Training and Development conference in Dallas—she went via 18-wheeler.

Why did you ride a rig to Dallas?

"Our company is always looking for ways to cut costs, so I told my boss, 'Why don't I go down to Dallas on a truck and learn the business from the ground up?' I wanted to actually experience what our frontline people do."

What was the truck like? "It was a 53-foot trailer. We were hauling Kawasaki motorcycles, and we had 60,000 pounds of truck and cargo."

Describe the experience. "We left at 7 a.m. Saturday, and we got into Dallas Sunday afternoon at 3:30. I rode with the driver and experienced everything she did, from sleeping in the truck to waiting four hours for repairs. I even crawled on top of the tires to wash the windows. I slept in my clothes all night and then didn't have a place to freshen up."

Has the trip made you a more effective trainer? "I've gained a lot more credibility. It gave me a deeper appreciation of what these people go through to deliver on-time service to our customers and still be pleasant to them. I got more appreciation of their job and how it fits into the company.

"I was also able to talk to the

trucker about her job and what she thinks of the company."

The biggest challenge for trainers? "Linking the business objectives to what our reality is. We all have these lofty goals, but you have to understand the business, and then you have to link training to it and be sure that the business is your catalyst."

What advice do you have for other trainers? "If more trainers would get out of the box, to where the rubber meets the road, we'd create better understanding, we'd enhance communications, and we would build credibility in our organizations."

What is your favorite machine? "Truck 16564."

This month's "Doer's Profile" interview was conducted by Catherine Petrini.

To nominate someone to be the subject of a "Doer's Profile," call the "Voice Mail" line at 703/683-9590 or fax your suggestion to Haidee Allerton at 703/683-9203. Include your own name and phone number as well as the name and number of the nominee, and tell us why he or she is a good candidate.

In evaluating training, we face many obstacles. But it's within our power to remove them. We have to ensure that we set high standards for ourselves so that we serve our customers better. We have to overcome a tendency to be nice and to give tests that get high pass rates instead of measuring whether learning took place. Do we evaluate to see whether learners have digested concepts and can apply them? Or, do we try to see whether someone can pick an obvious fact from a list of obvious distractors?

We must be proactive in seeing firsthand whether learning is being applied on the job and whether behavior has changed. It's too easy to slip into the smile-sheet approach. How many times have we asked, "Do you think the training helped you perform better on the job?" instead of determining what performance outcomes should be measured in the